Acquired choroidal osteoma
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INTRODUCTION

Choroidal osteoma is comprised osseous tissue in the choroid.[1,2] Histologic analysis of choroidal osteoma, also known as osseous choroidal choristoma, reveals bony trabeculae comprised of numerous osteocytes and occasional osteoclasts, with marrow spaces containing young mesenchymal cells.[1,2] In addition, there is obliteration of the choriocapillaris in most areas, depigmentation or irregular pigment clumping in the overlying pigment epithelium, and focal degeneration of retinal photoreceptor outer segments.

Choroidal osteoma is believed to be a developmental tumor arising from congenital rests of primitive mesoderm.[1] Factors such as ocular inflammation, systemic metabolic abnormalities, or hormonal changes have been implicated in etiopathogenesis; however, in most reports, suggestion of an acquired origin of choroidal osteoma remains speculative since documentation of a normal retina and choroid before observation of the osteoma is lacking.[3-6]

Herein, we present a case of an acquired choroidal osteoma developing in normal choroid within an 18-month interval. This report is compliant with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

CASE REPORT

A 16-year-old female presented for evaluation of a left eye peripapillary lesion that had been noted 3 weeks prior by her local optometrist, who had provided routine eye care for the past 2½ years. The lesion had apparently developed since her last visit 18 months prior since routine color fundus photography obtained at that time revealed no evidence of the lesion [Figure 1a]. On presentation, the visual acuity was 20/20 with normal anterior segment findings bilaterally. The right eye examination was unremarkable. In the left eye, a 3.5 × 3.5 × 1.0 mm orange, circumscribed mass located superotemporal to the optic disc was observed. There was no associated hemorrhage, drusen, or orange pigment [Figure 1b]. Optical coherence tomography through the lesion revealed retinal inner and outer segment disruption and trace subretinal
fluid associated with underlying focal choroidal thickening [Figure 1c]. Fluorescein angiography revealed staining of the lesion without leakage [Figure 1d]. Indocyanine green angiography (ICG) showed early hypocyanescence [Figure 1e] followed by late hypercyanescence. Ultrasound B-scan showed a hyperechoic choroidal lesion 1 mm in height with marked shadowing effect [Figure 1f]. The patient’s review of systems and medical history were unremarkable. These findings were consistent with a choroidal osteoma. We recommended observation with routine follow-up. The lesion was observed to grow in basal dimensions (4.0 × 4.0 mm) [Figure 1g] with stable height at 5 months. As the patient was asymptomatic with an otherwise normal examination, observation was again recommended.

DISCUSSION

Multimodal imaging allowed diagnosis of a choroidal osteoma, excluding other entities, such as choroidal hemangioma, inflammatory granuloma, or scleritis. 

ICG specifically was helpful in distinguishing these entities, as hemangiomas demonstrate early hypercyanescence and late hypocyanescence due to washout, while choroidal osteomas demonstrate early hypocyanescence, and late hypercyanescence, as observed in our case. 

B-scan ultrasonography indicated the presence of intrinsic calcification further supporting a clinical diagnosis of choroidal osteoma. 

We present here a case demonstrating onset of choroidal osteoma with prior imaging of normal choroid, supporting the notion that choroidal osteomas are acquired lesions, in at least some instances. Continued growth over a short period of observation (5 months) further supports an acquired origin. Many reports suggesting an acquired etiology of choroidal osteoma remain in some sense speculative as they lack image proof of a normal choroid before identification of a choroidal osteoma. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one previous report of a 62-year-old male with apparent development of a choroidal osteoma in the area of prior sectoral panretinal photocoagulation for branch retinal vein occlusion, though this report lacked ICG to confirm choroidal osteoma over other entities.

The stimulus for the development of the choroidal osteoma in the present case remains open to speculation, but hormonal influences seem most likely in this teenage female in the absence of abnormal calcium and phosphorus metabolism.

CONCLUSION

The patient with normal fundus 18 months before presentation was diagnosed with new choroidal osteoma on multimodal imaging. Our report provides evidence that choroidal osteoma may be acquired and not always congenital in origin.
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